



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/537,501	03	3/29/2000	Olli Talvitie	460-009334-US(PAR)	6906	
	7590	09/10/2003			,	
Clarence A			EXAMINER			
Perman & Gr 425 Post Roa	d		LE, DANH C			
Fairfield, CT 06430				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2683		
				DATE MAILED: 09/10/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	(-	19/				
•		09/537,501		TALVITIE ET AL.		,507				
Office Action Summe	ary	Examiner		Art Unit						
•	DANH C LE		2683		_					
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If the period for reply specified above is less that - If NO period for reply is specified above, the material of the period for reply within the set or extended period. - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1. Status	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply ximum statutory period w for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini ill apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be time mum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	will be considered timely ne mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.					
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>26 J</u>	une 2003 .								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)∏ Thi	s action is non-fir	nal.							
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims					e merits is					
4) Claim(s) 2,5,8,9,12,14 and 1	5 is/are pending ir	n the application								
4a) Of the above claim(s)			ntion.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed										
<u> </u>	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,8,9,12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	-									
8) Claim(s) are subject to		election requirer	nent.							
Application Papers		·								
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner									
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) Objecte	ed to by the Exam	niner.						
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	f in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correct	ion filed on	is: a)☐ approve	d b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examin	er.					
If approved, corrected drawings	s are required in rep	ly to this Office act	on.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exa	aminer.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1	20									
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ Noi	ne of:									
1. Certified copies of the p	oriority documents	have been recei	ved.		•					
2. Certified copies of the p	oriority documents	have been recei	ved in Applicatio	n No						
 3. Copies of the certified of application from the *See the attached detailed Offic 	International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a					application	1).				
a) ☐ The translation of the fore 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a										
Attachment(s)		· •	43							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		5) 🗌		PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PT						

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 2, 5, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sroka (US 5,778,308) in view of Leyten (US 5,991,608).

As to claim 2, Sroka teaches the system for matching an antenna (figure 3A,) for a wireless communication device, characterized in that it comprises:

detecting means (34, 36) to detect the matching of the antenna by measuring the radio power reflected from the antenna and means to generate a matching signal on the basis of the measurement on the reflected radio power,

control means (32) to examine said matching signal, to determine the need for matching, and to generate a control signal on the basis of said matching signal, and antenna matching means (31) to adjust the matching of the antenna on the basis of said control signal (col.4, line 34-col.5, line 3).

Sroka fails to teach the distance measurement. Leyten teaches the distance measurement (col.3, lines 36-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Leyten into the system of Sroka in order to provide a portable communication device which has acceptable performance, when a disturbing object is in the vicinity of such a disturbing object and in free space condition.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 5, the combine of Sroka and Leyten teaches the wireless communication device (figure 1, 15) comprising at least an antenna (figure 1, 17), characterized in that the wireless communication device also comprises:

detecting means (figure 3A, 34, 36) to detect the matching of the antenna by measuring the radio power reflected from the antenna and means to generate a matching signal on the basis of the measurement on the reflected radio power,

control means (figure 3A, 32) to examine said matching signal, to determine the need for matching, and to generate a control signal on the basis of said matching signal, and antenna matching means (figure 3A, 31) to adjust the matching of the antenna on the basis of said control signal.

Sroka fails to teach the distance measurement. Leyten teaches the distance measurement (col.3, lines 36-59). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Leyten into the system of Sroka in order to provide a portable communication device which has acceptable performance, when a disturbing object is in the vicinity of such a disturbing object and in free space condition.

As to claim 12, the claim is a method of claim 2; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth in the claim 2.

 Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sroka and Leyten in view of Terk (US 5,812,066).

As to claim 8, the combine of Sroka and Leyten fails to teach the means to measure the distance comprises an infrared transmitter and receiver. Terk teaches

Art Unit: 2683

wireless communication device according to claim 7, characterized in that said means to measure a distance comprise an infrared transmitter (col.13, lines 21-41) and an infrared receiver (col.12, line 43-col.13, line 2).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Terk into the system of Sroka and Leyten in order to provide enhanced system performance of the portable radio apparatus having adaptive antenna matching.

3. Claims 9, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sroka and Leyten in view of Tamura (US 5,335,638).

As to claim 9, Sroka and Leyten teaches the wireless communication device according to claim 5. Sroka and Leyten fails to teach the antenna is arranged to be placed in at least two different positions, characterized in that said detecting means comprise means to examine the position of the antenna and means to generate the matching signal on the basis of the position of the antenna. Tamura teaches the antenna (20) is arranged to be placed in at least two different positions, characterized in that said detecting means comprise means to examine the position of the antenna (20) and means to generate the matching signal on the basis of the position of the antenna (col.3, line 14-col.4, line 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teaching of Tamura into the system of Sroka in order to provide enhanced system performance of the portable radio apparatus having adaptive antenna matching.

Art Unit: 2683

As to claim 14, the limitation of the claim is the same the limitation of claim 9; therefore, the claim is interpreted and rejected as set forth in the claim 9.

As to claim 15, Tamura further teaches the wireless communication device comprising at least a keypad cover (10) arranged to be placed in at least two different positions, characterized in that said detecting means comprise means to examine the position of the keypad cover (10) and means to generate the matching signal on the basis of the position of the keypad cover (40).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2, 5, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANH C LE whose telephone number is 703-306-0542. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WILLIAM TROST can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Danh C.Le

WILLIAM TROST SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**